

RESEARCH

Open Access



Some properties of branching processes with random control functions and affected by viral infectivity in random environments

Min Ren^{1*}  and Guanghui Zhang¹

*Correspondence:
rm0107116@163.com

¹College of Mathematics and
Statistics, Suzhou University, Suzhou
234000, China

Abstract

In this paper, a model of branching processes with random control functions and affected by viral infectivity in independent and identically distributed random environments is established, and the Markov property of the model and a sufficient condition for the model to be certainly extinct under some conditions are discussed. Then, the limit properties of the model are studied. Under the normalization factor $\{S_n : n \in N\}$, the normalization processes $\{\hat{W}_n : n \in N\}$ are studied, and the sufficient conditions of $\{\hat{W}_n : n \in N\}$ a.s., L^1 and L^2 convergence are given; A sufficient condition and a necessary condition for convergence to a nondegenerate at zero random variable are obtained. Under the normalization factor $\{I_n : n \in N\}$, the normalization processes $\{\bar{W}_n : n \in N\}$ are studied, and the sufficient conditions of $\{\bar{W}_n : n \in N\}$ a.s., and L^1 convergence are obtained.

Keywords: Branching processes; Random environments; Viral infectivity; Limit properties; Random control functions; Certainly extinct

1 Introduction

As an extension of the classical branching process, Sevast'yanov and Zubkov ([1]) established the branching process controlled by a real-valued function and studied the extinction and nonextinction probability of the model. Subsequently, Zubkov and Yanev ([2, 3]) generalized the model and established a controlled branching process with random control functions and discussed the conditions of extinction and nonextinction of the model. Yanev, Yanev, and Holzheimejr ([4–6]) studied some properties of the controlled branching processes in random environments, such as the extinction probability and extinction conditions. By using the properties of a conditional probability generating function, Bi and Li ([7]) obtained a sufficient condition for the inevitable extinction of a controlled branching process in random environments. Fang, Yang, and Li ([8]) studied the convergence rate of the limit of a normalized controlled branching process with random control function in random environments. Li, et al. ([9]) discussed the Markov property of a controlled branching process in random environments and the limit properties of the process after proper normalization, such as the conditions for convergence almost everywhere, convergence in L^1 and L^2 . More research on controlled branching processes in random envi-

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ronments can be found in the literature ([10–14]). The reproduction process of species is affected by many factors such as natural environment and social environment, and highly infectious viruses such as the influenza virus, the SARS virus, and the novel coronavirus all have direct or indirect effects on the reproduction of species. Around 50 million people worldwide died of influenza in 1918, and according to the WHO, around 6.3 million people have died of the novel coronavirus as of June 30, 2022. Based on these issues, Ren et al. studied the Markov property of branching processes affected by viral infectivity in random environments, the limit properties of normalized processes, such as sufficient conditions for convergence almost everywhere and convergence in L^1 and the bisexual branching process affected by viral infectivity in random environments, and gave the Markov property of the model, the properties of the probability generating function, and the extinction condition of the processes ([15, 16]).

In this paper, we mainly study the Markov property, extinction probability, and some limit properties of the branching process with random control functions and affected by virus infectivity in random environments, and discuss the limit properties of the normalized processes $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ and $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$, such as the conditions for convergence almost everywhere and convergence in L^1 and L^2 .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, some notations, definitions, and conventions are introduced. Sections 3–6 are devoted to presenting the main results, including the Markov property, the extinction probability, and the limit properties.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we present a convention, some notations, and basic definitions, which will be used in the remainder of the paper.

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a probability space, (Θ, Σ) a measurable space, $\vec{\xi} = \{\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots\}$ an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequence of random variables mapping from $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ to (Θ, Σ) , and $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $N^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. T is a shift operator such that $T(\vec{\xi}) = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots\}$. $\{X_{nj}, n \in N, j \in N^+\}$ is a cluster of random variables mapping from $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ to N . Let $\{P_i(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta, i \in N^+\}$, $\{Q(\theta; k, i) : \theta \in \Theta, k, i \in N\}$ and $\{\alpha^x(\theta)(1 - \alpha(\theta))^{1-x}, \theta \in \Theta, x = 0, 1\}$ be probability distribution sequences. Let $\{\phi_n(k) : n, k \in N\}$ be a cluster of i.i.d. random functions with respect to n , from N to N , with distribution $Q(\xi_n; k, i) = P(\phi_n(k) = i | \vec{\xi})$, $i \in N$.

Definition 2.1 If $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$ satisfies

- (i) $Z_0 = N_0, Z_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\phi_n(Z_n)} X_{nj} I_{nj}, n \in N, N_0, j \in N^+$;
- (ii) $P(X_{nj} = r | \vec{\xi}) = P_r(\xi_n), r, n \in N, j \in N^+, P(I_{nj} = x | \vec{\xi}) = \alpha^x(\xi_n)(1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{1-x}, x = 0$ or $1, n \in N, j \in N^+$;
- (iii) $P(X_{nj} = r_{nj}, 1 \leq j \leq l, 0 \leq n \leq m | \vec{\xi}) = \prod_{n=0}^m \prod_{j=1}^l P(X_{nj} = r_{nj} | \vec{\xi}), r_{nj} \in N, 1 \leq j \leq l, 0 \leq n \leq m, m \in N, l \in N^+$;
- (iv) for given $\vec{\xi}, \{X_{nj} : n \in N, j \in N^+\}, \{I_{nj} : n \in N, j \in N^+\}$ and $\{\phi_n(k) : n, k \in N\}$ are of mutually conditional independence; furthermore, for given $n, \{(X_{nj}, I_{nj}) : j \in N^+\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. two-dimensional random variables.

Then, $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$ is called a branching process with random control functions and affected by virus infectivity in random environments.

In the model under consideration, X_{nj} represents the number of offspring produced by the j th particle in the n th generation. We set $I_{nj} = 0$ when the j th particle in the n th gener-

ation dies of a viral infection, that is, it does not participate in the reproduction of the next generation; $I_{nj} = 1$ means the j th particle in the n th generation does not have the virus or was cured of it, that is, it normally participates in the reproduction of the next generation, $\alpha(\xi_n)$ represents the probability that the n th-generation particles will not be affected by the virus. Z_{n+1} represents the total number of the $(n + 1)$ th-generation particles, $\phi_n(\cdot)$ represents the control function in the reproduction process of the n th-generation particles and $\phi_n(k) = i$ means that when the total number of the n th-generation particles is k , of which the number of particles participating in the reproduction of offspring is i .

We further introduce some convention and notations, which will be used in the following discussion.

In order to avoid the trivialities of the process, we assume throughout that

(A₁) For any $n \in N$, it holds that

$$0 < P_0(\xi_n) + P_1(\xi_n) < 1, \quad 0 < P(\phi_n(k) = k | \vec{\xi}) < 1, \quad \text{a.s., } k \in N^+.$$

(A₂) For any $n \in N$, it holds that

$$0 < \alpha(\xi_n) < 1, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Otherwise, if $\alpha(\xi_n) = 1$, a.s., for any $n \in N$, then the model under consideration will be the one in reference [9].

We give some notations by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\mathfrak{F}}_n(\vec{\xi}) &= \sigma(Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n; \vec{\xi}), & m(\xi_n) &= E(X_{n1} | \vec{\xi}), & m_2(\xi_n) &= E(X_{n1}^2 | \vec{\xi}), \\ \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) &= Z_n^{-1} E(\phi_n(Z_n) | \vec{\xi}), & \varepsilon(\xi_n) &= \sup_{Z_n \geq 0} \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n), & \varepsilon_1(\xi_n) &= \inf_{Z_n \geq 0} \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n), \\ \varepsilon_{Z_n}(\xi_n) &= \varepsilon(\xi_n) - \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n), & \delta^2(\xi_n, k) &= \text{Var}(\phi_n(k) | \vec{\xi}), \\ \delta^2(\xi_n) &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \delta^2(\xi_n, k), & n \in N, k \in N^+. \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \left\{ (r_l, d_l) : \sum_{l=1}^k r_l d_l = j, r_l \in N, d_l = 0 \text{ or } 1, l = 1, 2, \dots, k, k \in N^+ \right\}.$$

3 Markov property

Definition 3.1 If for any $x, n \in N$, it holds that

$$P(X_0 = x_0 | \vec{\xi}) = P(X_0 = x_0 | \xi_0), \tag{3.1}$$

$$P(X_{n+1} = x | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \vec{\xi}) = P(\xi_n; X_n, x). \tag{3.2}$$

Then, \vec{X} is defined as a Markov chain in random environment $\vec{\xi}$.

Theorem 3.2 $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain in random environment $\vec{\xi}$ with the one-step transition probabilities

$$P(\xi_n; i, j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; i, k) \cdot \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)}.$$

Proof From the definition of $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$, we have $P(Z_0 = N_0 | \vec{\xi}) = P(Z_0 = N_0 | \xi_0)$, namely equation (3.1) holds.

The following is to prove equation (3.2) is true. When $\vec{\xi}$ is given, for any $n \in N, k \in N^+, \phi_n(k), X_{nl}$ and I_{nl} are mutually independent, hence we obtain, for any $i, j, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in N^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(Z_{n+1} = j | Z_0 = N_0, Z_1 = i_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, Z_n = i, \vec{\xi}) \\ &= P\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\phi_n(Z_n)} X_{nl} I_{nl} = j \mid Z_0 = N_0, Z_1 = i_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, Z_n = i, \vec{\xi}\right) \\ &= \frac{P(\sum_{l=1}^{\phi_n(Z_n)} X_{nl} I_{nl} = j, Z_0 = N_0, Z_1 = i_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, Z_n = i | \vec{\xi})}{P(Z_0 = N_0, Z_1 = i_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, Z_n = i | \vec{\xi})} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\phi_n(i)} X_{nl} I_{nl} = j, \phi_n(i) = k \mid \vec{\xi}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; i, k) \cdot \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 3.1, it is immediately obvious that $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain in random environment $\vec{\xi}$ with one-step transition probabilities

$$P(\xi_n; i, j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; i, k) \cdot \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.3 For any $n \in N$, it holds that

(i) $E(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = Z_n m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n)$ a.s.

In particular, it follows that

$$N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon_1(\xi_i) \leq E(Z_n | \vec{\xi}) \leq N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon(\xi_i).$$

(ii) $\text{Var}(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = Z_n \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) \text{Var}(X_{n1} I_{n1} | \vec{\xi}) + m^2(\xi_n) \alpha^2(\xi_n) \delta^2(\xi_n, Z_n).$

Proof (i) Theorem 3.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j P(\xi_n; Z_n, j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) \cdot \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \cdot \left\{ \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \cdot P\left(\sum_{l=1}^k X_{nl} I_{nl} = j \mid \vec{\xi}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) k m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) = m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) E(\phi_n(Z_n) | \vec{\xi}) \\
 &= Z_n m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E(Z_{n+1} | \vec{\xi}) = E(E(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) | \vec{\xi}) = m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) E(Z_n | \vec{\xi}), \tag{3.3}$$

the recurrence relation of equation (3.3) gives

$$E(Z_{n+1} | \vec{\xi}) = N_0 \prod_{i=0}^n m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon(\xi_i, Z_i).$$

By the definitions of $\varepsilon(\xi_n)$ and $\varepsilon_1(\xi_n)$, we deduce that

$$N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon_1(\xi_i) \leq E(Z_n | \vec{\xi}) \leq N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon(\xi_i).$$

(ii) Using Theorem 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(Z_{n+1}^2 | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 P(\xi_n; Z_n, j) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) \sum_{(r_l, d_l) \in A} \prod_{l=1}^k p_{r_l}(\xi_n) \alpha^{d_l}(\xi_n) (1 - \alpha(\xi_n))^{(1-d_l)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 \cdot P\left(\sum_{l=1}^k X_{nl} I_{nl} = j \mid \vec{\xi}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) E\left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^k X_{nl} I_{nl}\right)^2 \mid \vec{\xi}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) k E(X_{n1}^2 I_{n1}^2 | \vec{\xi}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) k(k-1) (E(X_{n1} I_{n1} | \vec{\xi}))^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) k \{E(X_{n1}^2 I_{n1}^2 | \vec{\xi}) - (E(X_{n1} I_{n1} | \vec{\xi}))^2\} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q(\xi_n; Z_n, k) k^2 (m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n))^2 \\
 &= Z_n \text{Var}(X_{n1} I_{n1} | \vec{\xi}) \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) + m^2(\xi_n) \alpha^2(\xi_n) (\delta^2(\xi_n, Z_n) + Z_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n, Z_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= E(Z_{n+1}^2 | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) - (E(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})))^2 \\
 &= Z_n \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) \text{Var}(X_{n1} I_{n1} | \vec{\xi}) + m^2(\xi_n) \alpha^2(\xi_n) \delta^2(\xi_n, Z_n). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

4 The extinction probability of $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$

An important tool in the analysis of the branching process in random environments is the generating function. In order to discuss the extinction probability of the model, we first introduce the relevant conditional probability generating function of the model as follows

$$\Pi_{\xi_n}(s) = E(s^{Z_n} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0), \quad f_{\xi_n}(s) = E(s^{X_{ni}} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0), \quad n \in N, 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

For any $n \in N, i \in N^+$, from the independence of X_{ni} and I_{ni} , we obtain

$$E(s^{X_{ni}I_{ni}} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) = 1 - \alpha(\xi_n) + \alpha(\xi_n)f_{\xi_n}(s)$$

and we designate $B(w) = \{w : Z_n = 0, n \in N^+\}, q(\vec{\xi}) = P(B(w) | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0)$ and $q = P(B(w) | Z_0 = N_0)$, then $q = E(q(\vec{\xi}))$.

If for some $n \in N, q = 1$, then we say $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$ is certainly extinct; otherwise, $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$ is noncertainly extinct.

Lemma 4.1 *If there exists a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\{\eta_n, n \in N\}$ such that for any $n \in N, \sup_{k \geq 1} \frac{\phi_n(k)}{k} \leq \eta_n$ a.s., then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\xi_n}(s) \geq & \{1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}[(1 - \alpha(\xi_1) + \alpha(\xi_1)f_{\xi_1}(\dots(1 - \alpha(\xi_{n-1}) \\ & + \alpha(\xi_{n-1})f_{\xi_{n-1}}(s))^{\eta_{n-1}} \dots))^{\eta_1}]\}^{\eta_0 N_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof From the assumed condition, the properties of the generating functions of conditional probability and the fact that for any fixed $n, X_{nj}I_{nj}$ is i.i.d. with respect to j , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(s^{Z_1} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) &= E(s^{\sum_{j=1}^{\phi_0(Z_0)} X_{0j}I_{0j}} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) \\ &= E((1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(s))^{\phi_0(N_0)} | \vec{\xi}) \\ &= \{1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(s)\}^{\phi_0(N_0)} \\ &\geq \{1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(s)\}^{\eta_0 N_0}, \end{aligned}$$

namely (4.1) holds for $n = 1$. Supposing (4.1) holds for $n = k$, we deduce by induction, for $n = k + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\xi_{k+1}}(s) &= E(E(s^{Z_{k+1}} | Z_0 = N_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_k, \vec{\xi}) | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) \\ &= E(E(s^{\sum_{j=1}^{\phi_k(Z_k)} X_{kj}I_{kj}} | Z_0 = N_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_k, \vec{\xi}) | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) \\ &= E((1 - \alpha(\xi_k) + \alpha(\xi_k)f_{\xi_k}(s))^{\phi_k(Z_k)} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) \\ &\geq E(((1 - \alpha(\xi_k) + \alpha(\xi_k)f_{\xi_k}(s))^{\eta_k})^{Z_k} | \vec{\xi}, Z_0 = N_0) \\ &\geq \{1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}[(1 - \alpha(\xi_1) + \alpha(\xi_1)f_{\xi_1}(\dots(1 - \alpha(\xi_k) \\ & + \alpha(\xi_k)f_{\xi_k}(s))^{\eta_k} \dots))^{\eta_1}]\}^{\eta_0 N_0}, \end{aligned}$$

namely (4.1) holds for $n = k + 1$, which completes the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$\mu_n(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = \left\{ 1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0} \left[\left(1 - \alpha(\xi_1) + \alpha(\xi_1)f_{\xi_1} (\dots (1 - \alpha(\xi_{n-1}) + \alpha(\xi_{n-1})f_{\xi_{n-1}}(0))^{n-1} \dots) \right)^{n_0 N_0} \right] \right\}^{n_0 N_0}, \quad n \in N^+.$$

By the properties of generating functions,

$$0 \leq \mu_n(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \leq \mu_{n+1}(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \leq 1, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\mu_n(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = \left[1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(\mu_{n-1}(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})) \right]^{n_0 N_0}.$$

Thus, $\mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})$ a.s., and

$$\mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = \left[1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(\mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})) \right]^{n_0 N_0} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

For $q(\vec{\xi}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{\xi_n}(0)$, then by (4.1)

$$q(\vec{\xi}) \geq \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose for any $n \in N$,*

(a) *If there exists a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\{\eta_n, n \in N\}$ such that*

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \frac{\phi_n(k)}{k} \leq \eta_n \quad \text{a.s.};$$

(b) *$E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) < \infty$ and $\frac{1 - (1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0) f_{\xi_0}(s))^{n_0 N_0}}{1 - s}$ is strictly monotonically increasing with respect to s on $(0, 1]$.*

Then, on $\{q(\vec{\xi}) < 1\}$, it holds that

- (i) $E(|\log \frac{1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})}|) < \infty, E(\log \frac{1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})}) = 0;$
- (ii) $E(|\log N_0 \eta_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1)|) < \infty, E(\log N_0 \eta_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1)) > 0.$

Proof To prove (i), by Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$q(\vec{\xi}) \geq \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \quad \text{a.s.,}$$

hence,

$$\{q(\vec{\xi}) < 1\} \subset \{\mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) < 1\}.$$

If

$$P(q(\vec{\xi}) < 1) > 0,$$

then

$$P(\mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) < 1) > 0.$$

Denote

$$h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = -\log(1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})), \quad f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = -\log \frac{1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})},$$

then

$$P(0 < h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) < \infty) > 0.$$

Since

$$-\log(1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) = -\log \frac{1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})} - \log(1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})),$$

then

$$h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + h(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})$$

and iterating this gives

$$h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + f(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta}) + \dots + f(T^n\vec{\xi}, T^n\vec{\eta}) + h(T^{n+1}\vec{\xi}, T^{n+1}\vec{\eta}).$$

Hence, on $\{q(\vec{\xi}) < 1\}$, by the nonnegativity of $h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})$, we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=0}^n f(T^j\vec{\xi}, T^j\vec{\eta}) \leq h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}),$$

i.e.,

$$n^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n f^+(T^j\vec{\xi}, T^j\vec{\eta}) - \sum_{j=0}^n f^-(T^j\vec{\xi}, T^j\vec{\eta}) \right\} \leq n^{-1} h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}). \tag{4.2}$$

From the monotonicity of $\frac{1 - [1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(s)]^{\eta_0 N_0}}{1 - s}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq E(f^-(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) = E(-f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}), f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \leq 0) \\ &= E\left(\log \frac{1 - [1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(\mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta}))]^{\eta_0 N_0}}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1 - [1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0)f_{\xi_0}(\mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta}))]^{\eta_0 N_0}}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})} \geq 1\right) \\ &\leq E(\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) [1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0) f_{\xi_0}(1)]^{\eta_0 N_0 - 1}, \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \geq 1) \\ &\leq E(\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1), \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \geq 1) \\ &= E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On $\{q(\vec{\xi}) < 1\}$, it holds that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = 0$. Since $(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})$ are i.i.d., according to (4.2), we arrive at

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^n f^+(T^j \vec{\xi}, T^j \vec{\eta}) \leq E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) < \infty.$$

By the law of large numbers, we have $E(f^+(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) < \infty$, so $E(|f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})|) < \infty$. As

$$E(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^n f(T^j \vec{\xi}, T^j \vec{\eta}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \{h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) - h(T^{n+1} \vec{\xi}, T^{n+1} \vec{\eta})\},$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}h(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) = 0$ and $(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})$ are i.i.d., then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}h(T^{n+1} \vec{\xi}, T^{n+1} \vec{\eta}) = 0$.

Thus, we have $E(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) = 0$, which completes the proof of (i).

Now, we turn to prove (ii). We only need to show that

$$E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^-) \leq E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+).$$

A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^-) \\ &= E(-\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1), \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \leq 1) \\ &\leq E\left(-\log \frac{1 - [1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0) f_{\xi_0}(\mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta}))]^{N_0}}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})}, \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \leq 1\right) \\ &= E\left(-\log \frac{1 - \mu(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})}{1 - \mu(T\vec{\xi}, T\vec{\eta})}, \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \leq 1\right) \\ &\leq E(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}), f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) \geq 0) = E(f^+(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) \\ &\leq E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) = E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^-),$$

then

$$E(\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1)) = 0$$

and since $E(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta})) = 0$, then

$$E(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + \log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1)) = 0.$$

From the assumed monotonicity it follows that

$$P(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + \log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) \geq 0) = 1,$$

and

$$P(f(\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}) + \log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1) > 0) > 0,$$

unless $P(P_1(\xi_n) = 1) = 1$, which contradicts with

$$P(P_0(\xi_n) + P_1(\xi_n) < 1) = 1.$$

Thus, it holds that

$$E(\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1)) > 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3 *Suppose for any $n \in N$,*

(i) *If there exists a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $\{\eta_n, n \in N\}$ such that*

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \frac{\phi_n(k)}{k} \leq \eta_n \quad a.s.;$$

(ii) *$E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))^+) < \infty$ and $\frac{1 - (1 - \alpha(\xi_0) + \alpha(\xi_0) f_{\xi_0}(s))^{N_0}}{1 - s}$ is strictly monotonically increasing with respect to s on $(0, 1]$.*

Then, when $E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))) \leq 0$, we have $P(q(\vec{\xi}) = 1) = 1$, i.e., $\{Z_n, n \in N\}$ is certainly extinct.

Proof We proceed with the proof by contradiction. Suppose $P(q(\vec{\xi}) = 1) < 1$ when $E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))) \leq 0$, then

$$P(q(\vec{\xi}) < 1) = 1 - P(q(\vec{\xi}) = 1) > 0.$$

From Lemma 4.2 we obtain that the assumed conditions (i) and (ii) in this theorem hold, then on $\{q(\vec{\xi}) < 1\}$,

$$E((\log \eta_0 N_0 \alpha(\xi_0) f'_{\xi_0}(1))) > 0,$$

which contradicts our assumption and completes the proof. □

Since the expression of the conditional expectation of the process cannot be calculated precisely, using the upper and lower bounds of the conditional expectation of the process given by Lemma 3.3, we define two random sequences $\{S_n, n \in N\}$ and $\{I_n, n \in N\}$, where

$$S_n = N_0 \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_k) \alpha(\xi_k) \varepsilon(\xi_k), \quad I_n = N_0 \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_k) \alpha(\xi_k) \varepsilon_1(\xi_k), \quad n \in N^+,$$

and obviously $S_0 = I_0 = N_0$. Regarding S_n and I_n as normalized factors, we define two random sequences as $\hat{W}_n = Z_n S_n^{-1}$, $\tilde{W}_n = Z_n I_n^{-1}$, $n \in N$.

In what follows, we discuss the limit properties of $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ and $\{\tilde{W}_n, n \in N\}$.

5 The limit properties of $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$

Theorem 5.1 $\{\hat{W}_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a nonnegative supermartingale, and there exists a nonnegative finite random variable \hat{W} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}_n = \hat{W} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$E(\hat{W}|\vec{\xi}) \leq 1.$$

Proof From Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = S_{n+1}^{-1}E(Z_{n+1}|\vec{\xi}) = S_{n+1}^{-1}Z_n m(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)\varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) \leq S_n^{-1}Z_n = \hat{W}_n, \tag{5.1}$$

namely $\{\hat{W}_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a nonnegative supermartingale. According to the Doob martingale convergence theorem, there exists a nonnegative, finite random variable \hat{W} satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}_n = \hat{W} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Taking the conditional expectation with respect to ξ on both sides of (5.1), we are able to obtain recursively

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\vec{\xi}) = E(E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}))|\vec{\xi}) \leq E(\hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi}) \leq \dots \leq E(\hat{W}_0|\vec{\xi}) = 1.$$

Using the Fatou Lemma gives

$$E(\hat{W}|\vec{\xi}) = E\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi}\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi}) \leq 1,$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.2 If $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{m_2(\xi_i)}{S_i m^2(\xi_i)\alpha(\xi_i)\varepsilon(\xi_i)}\right) < \infty$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{\delta^2(\xi_i)}{S_i^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_i)}\right) < \infty$, then $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 and converges in L^1 to \hat{W} .

Proof From Lemma 3.3 and the fact that for given $\vec{\xi}$ and any $n \in N, k \in N^+, X_{nk}$ and I_{nk} are mutually independent, one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{W}_{n+1}^2|\mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= S_{n+1}^{-2}E(Z_{n+1}^2|\mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) \\ &= S_{n+1}^{-2}\{Z_n \varepsilon(\xi_n, Z_n) \text{Var}(X_{n1}I_{n1}|\vec{\xi}) + m^2(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)[\delta^2(\xi_n, Z_n) \\ &\quad + Z_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n, Z_n)]\} \\ &\leq \hat{W}_n^2 + \hat{W}_n \frac{\text{Var}(X_{n1}I_{n1}|\vec{\xi})}{S_n m^2(\xi_n)\alpha^2(\xi_n)\varepsilon(\xi_n)} + \frac{\delta^2(\xi_n)}{S_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n)} \\ &\leq \hat{W}_n^2 + \hat{W}_n \frac{m_2(\xi_n)}{S_n m^2(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)\varepsilon(\xi_n)} + \frac{\delta^2(\xi_n)}{S_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Taking the conditional expectation on both sides of (5.2) and combining with Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{W}_{n+1}^2 | \vec{\xi}) &= E(E(\hat{W}_{n+1}^2 | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) | \vec{\xi}) \\
 &\leq E(\hat{W}_n^2 | \vec{\xi}) + E\left(\left(\hat{W}_n \cdot \frac{m_2(\xi_n)}{S_n \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n) m^2(\xi_n)} + \frac{\delta^2(\xi_n)}{S_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n)}\right) \middle| \vec{\xi}\right) \\
 &\leq E(\hat{W}_n^2 | \vec{\xi}) + \frac{m_2(\xi_n)}{S_n \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n) m^2(\xi_n)} + \frac{\delta^2(\xi_n)}{S_n^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_n)}. \tag{5.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of (5.3), it is deduced recursively that

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}^2) \leq 1 + E\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{m_2(\xi_i)}{S_i \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon(\xi_i) m^2(\xi_i)}\right) + E\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\delta^2(\xi_i)}{S_i^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_i)}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

Owing to the assumed condition, we obtain that $\{E\hat{W}_n^2, n \in N\}$ is bounded, namely $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 . Hence, $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is uniformly integrable, which combined with Theorem 5.1 yields the desired result that $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^1 to \hat{W} . \square

Now, we give the condition that the limit \hat{W} of $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is nondegenerate, beginning by introducing a Lemma.

Lemma 5.3 ([10]) *Set $R^+ = (0, +\infty)$, when $\vec{\xi}$ is given, for any fixed $n \in N$,*

- (i) *If $\{a_j(\xi_n), j \in N^+\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence, there exists a nondecreasing function $\varphi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ on R^+ such that $\varphi_{\xi_n}(x) \geq a_1(\xi_n), x > 0$; $\varphi_{\xi_n}(j) \leq a_j(\xi_n), j \in N^+$ and $\varphi_{\xi_n}^*(x) \equiv x \cdot \varphi_{\xi_n}(x), x > 0$ is convex.*
- (ii) *If $\{a_j(\xi_n), j \in N^+\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence, there exists a nonincreasing function $\psi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ on R^+ such that $\psi_{\xi_n}(x) \leq a_1(\xi_n), x > 0$; $\psi_{\xi_n}(j) \geq a_j(\xi_n), j \in N^+$ and $\psi_{\xi_n}^*(x) \equiv x \cdot \psi_{\xi_n}(x), x > 0$ is concave.*

For any fixed $n \in N$, let $\{\varepsilon(\xi_n, k) : k \in N^+\}$ be a nondecreasing sequence, then by Lemma 5.3 there exists a nondecreasing $\varphi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ on R^+ such that $\varphi_{\xi_n}(x) \geq \varepsilon(\xi_n; 1), x > 0$; $\varphi_{\xi_n}(j) \leq \varepsilon(\xi_n; j), j \in N^+$ and $\varphi_{\xi_n}^*(x) \equiv x\varphi_{\xi_n}(x), x > 0$ is convex.

Theorem 5.4 *For any fixed $n \in N$, if $\{\varepsilon(\xi_n; k) : k \in N^+\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and*

$$E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\xi_i}(N_0 \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} m(\xi_j) \alpha(\xi_j) \varepsilon_1(\xi_j))}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}\right) > 0,$$

then $E(\hat{W}) > 0$, i.e., $P(\hat{W} > 0) > 0$.

Proof From the Lemmas 3.3 and 5.3, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{W}_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= S_{n+1}^{-1} Z_n m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(\xi_n; Z_n) \\
 &\geq S_{n+1}^{-1} Z_n m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varphi_{\xi_n}(Z_n) \\
 &= S_{n+1}^{-1} m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varphi_{\xi_n}^*(Z_n) \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{5.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since for any $n \in N$, $\varphi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing and $\varphi_{\xi_n}^*(\cdot)$ is convex, taking the conditional expectation on both sides of (5.5) and combining the Jensen inequality and Lemma 3.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\vec{\xi}) &\geq S_{n+1}^{-1}m(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)E(\varphi_{\xi_n}^*(Z_n)|\vec{\xi}) \\
 &\geq S_{n+1}^{-1}m(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)\varphi_{\xi_n}^*(E(Z_n|\vec{\xi})) \\
 &= S_{n+1}^{-1}m(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)E(Z_n|\vec{\xi})\varphi_{\xi_n}(E(Z_n|\vec{\xi})) \\
 &= E(\hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi})\frac{\varphi_{\xi_n}(E(Z_n|\vec{\xi}))}{\varepsilon(\xi_n)} \\
 &\geq E(\hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi})\frac{\varphi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i)\alpha(\xi_i)\varepsilon_1(\xi_i))}{\varepsilon(\xi_n)}. \tag{5.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Iterating (5.6) with respect to n , we obtain

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\vec{\xi}) \geq \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{\varphi_{\xi_i}(N_0 \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} m(\xi_j)\alpha(\xi_j)\varepsilon_1(\xi_j))}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}.$$

By the assumed condition of Theorem 5.4 and Fatou Lemma, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{W}) &= E\left(E\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi}\right)\right) \geq E\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{W}_n|\vec{\xi})\right) \\
 &\geq E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_{\xi_i}(N_0 \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} m(\xi_j)\alpha(\xi_j)\varepsilon_1(\xi_j))}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows $E(\hat{W}) > 0$, which completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.5 *If $P(\hat{W} > 0) > 0$, then it holds on $\{\hat{W} > 0\}$*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_k; Z_n)}{\varepsilon(\xi_k)} \right] < \infty, \quad a.s.$$

Proof For any $n \in N$, Lemma 3.3 implies

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}|\mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = S_{n+1}^{-1}Z_n m(\xi_n)\alpha(\xi_n)\varepsilon(\xi_n; Z_n) = \frac{\hat{W}_n \varepsilon(\xi_n; Z_n)}{\varepsilon(\xi_n)} = \hat{W}_n - \frac{\hat{W}_n \varepsilon_{Z_n}(\xi_n)}{\varepsilon(\xi_n)}.$$

Hence,

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}) = E(\hat{W}_n) - E\left(\frac{\hat{W}_n \varepsilon_{Z_n}(\xi_n)}{\varepsilon(\xi_n)}\right). \tag{5.7}$$

Since $E(\hat{W}_0) = 1$, iterating (5.7) gives

$$E(\hat{W}_{n+1}) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^n E\left(\frac{\hat{W}_k \varepsilon_{Z_k}(\xi_k)}{\varepsilon(\xi_k)}\right) \geq 0. \tag{5.8}$$

In (5.8), letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E \left(\frac{\hat{W}_k \varepsilon_{Z_k}(\xi_k)}{\varepsilon(\xi_k)} \right) \leq 1.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{W}_k \varepsilon_{Z_k}(\xi_k)}{\varepsilon(\xi_k)} < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{5.9}$$

From (5.9), we have for almost everywhere $w \in \{\hat{W} > 0\}$, it holds that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{W}_k(w) \varepsilon_{Z_k}(\xi_k(w))}{\varepsilon(\xi_k(w))} < \infty.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}_n(w) = \hat{W}(w) > 0$, by the sign-preserving property of the limit, there exists $k(w)$ satisfying $0 < k(w) < \hat{W}(w)$ and $n_0(w) \in N^+$ such that when $n > n_0(w)$, it holds that

$$k(w) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_k(w); Z_k(w))}{\varepsilon(\xi_k(w))} \right\} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{W}_k(w)}{\varepsilon(\xi_k(w))} [\varepsilon(\xi_k(w)) - \varepsilon(\xi_k(w); Z_k(w))] < \infty.$$

Therefore, on $\{\hat{W} > 0\}$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_k; Z_n)]}{\varepsilon(\xi_k)} < \infty$, a.s. □

Below, we prove the convergence in L^2 of $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$.

Theorem 5.6 *Under the condition of Theorem 5.2, if*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[E \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[E \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\delta^2(\xi_j)}{S_j^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_j)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[E \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{m_2(\xi_j)}{S_j m^2(\xi_j) \alpha(\xi_j) \varepsilon(\xi_j)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Then, $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converge in L^2 to \hat{W} .

Proof Since $\{\hat{W}_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a nonnegative supermartingale, from the Doob martingale decomposition theorem, it follows that, for any $n \in N$, $\hat{W}_n = Y_n - T_n$, where $\{Y_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a martingale, $\{T_n, n \in N\}$ is an increasing process with

$$T_0 = 0, \quad T_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{W}_i \varepsilon_{Z_i}(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}.$$

In what follows, we prove $\{T_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 .

Since

$$\|T_n\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{W}_i \varepsilon_{Z_i}(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right\|_2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\| \frac{\hat{W}_i \varepsilon_{Z_i}(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right\|_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[E \left(\frac{\hat{W}_i^2 \varepsilon_{Z_i}^2(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon^2(\xi_i)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

from (5.4) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\frac{\hat{W}_i^2 \varepsilon_{Z_i}^2(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon^2(\xi_i)} \middle| \vec{\xi} \right) &\leq E \left(\hat{W}_i^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 \middle| \vec{\xi} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 E(\hat{W}_i^2 | \vec{\xi}) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left[\frac{m_2(\xi_j)}{S_j \alpha(\xi_j) \varepsilon(\xi_j) m^2(\xi_j)} + \frac{\delta^2(\xi_j)}{S_j^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_j)} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\frac{\hat{W}_i^2 \varepsilon_{Z_i}^2(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon^2(\xi_i)} \right) &\leq E \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} E \left(\frac{m_2(\xi_j)}{S_j \alpha(\xi_j) \varepsilon(\xi_j) m^2(\xi_j)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} E \left(\frac{\delta^2(\xi_j)}{S_j^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[E \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i) - \varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon(\xi_i)} \right)^2 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[E \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{m_2(\xi_j)}{S_j \alpha(\xi_j) \varepsilon(\xi_j) m^2(\xi_j)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[E \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{\delta^2(\xi_j)}{S_j^2 \varepsilon^2(\xi_j)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the assumed condition of Theorem 5.6, $\{T_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 , from which and the fact $\{T_n, n \in N\}$ is a nonnegative increasing process, it follows that $\{T_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^2 . From Theorem 5.2, we have $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 , so $\{Y_n, n \in N\}$ is bounded in L^2 . Since $\{Y_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a martingale, $\{Y_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^2 , and therefore $\{\hat{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^2 to \hat{W} . \square

6 The limit properties of $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$

Theorem 6.1 *If $E(\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_k)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_k)}) < \infty$, then there exists a nonnegative, infinite random variable \bar{W} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{W}_n = \bar{W}$ a.s., and $E(\bar{W}) < \infty$.*

Proof From Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{W}_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) &= I_{n+1}^{-1} E(Z_{n+1} | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = I_{n+1}^{-1} Z_n m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon(Z_n; \xi_n) \\ &= \bar{W}_n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(Z_n; \xi_n)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n)} \geq \bar{W}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, $\{\bar{W}_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi}), n \in N\}$ is a nonnegative submartingale and

$$E(\bar{W}_n | \vec{\xi}) = E(I_n^{-1} Z_n | \vec{\xi}) \leq I_n^{-1} N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon(\xi_i) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Taking expectation on both sides of (6.1), we arrive at

$$E(\bar{W}_n) \leq E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}\right).$$

An immediate consequence of the assumed condition of Theorem 6.1 is $\sup_{n \geq 0} E(\bar{W}_n) < \infty$. By the submartingale convergence theorem, there exists a nonnegative random variable \bar{W} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{W}_n = \bar{W}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and $E(\bar{W}) < \infty$.

Below, we discuss the condition of $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^1 .

We set

$$r_k(\xi_n) = k^{-1} E(|Z_{n+1} - k \varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)| | Z_n = k, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})), \quad k \in N^+, n \in N,$$

then it holds that $E(\frac{|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n|}{\bar{W}_n} | Z_n, \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})) = (\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n))^{-1} r_{Z_n}(\xi_n)$. for fixed $n \in N$, let $\{r_k(\xi_n), k \in N^+\}$ be a nonincreasing sequence. Namely, as the number of particles increases, the absolute value of the average growth rate of \bar{W}_n is required to decrease. By Lemma 5.3, there exists a nonincreasing function $\psi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ on R^+ such that $\psi_{\xi_n}(x) \leq r_1(\xi_n)$, $x > 0$; $\psi_{\xi_n}(j) \geq r_j(\xi_n)$, $j \in N^+$ and $\psi_{\xi_n}^*(x) \equiv x \psi_{\xi_n}(x)$, $x > 0$ is concave. \square

Lemma 6.2 *Suppose*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_k)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_k)} \cdot \frac{\psi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i) \varepsilon_1(\xi_i))}{m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) \varepsilon_1(\xi_n)}\right) < \infty$$

and for given n , $\{r_k(\xi_n) : k \in N^+\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence, then $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^1 to nonnegative, infinite random variable \bar{W} .

Proof We begin with proving $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is a L^1 -Cauchy sequence. By considering Lemma 5.3, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n| | \vec{\xi}) &= I_{n+1}^{-1} E(|Z_{n+1} - \varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) Z_n| | \vec{\xi}) \\ &= I_{n+1}^{-1} E(E[|Z_{n+1} - \varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n) Z_n| | \mathfrak{F}_n(\vec{\xi})] | \vec{\xi}) \\ &= I_{n+1}^{-1} E(Z_n r_{Z_n}(\xi_n) | \vec{\xi}) \leq I_{n+1}^{-1} E(Z_n \psi_{\xi_n}(Z_n) | \vec{\xi}) \\ &= I_{n+1}^{-1} E(\psi_{\xi_n}^*(Z_n) | \vec{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi_{\xi_n}(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing and $\psi_{\xi_n}^*(\cdot)$ is concave, then by Jensen’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n| | \vec{\xi}) &\leq I_{n+1}^{-1} \psi_{\xi_n}^*(E(Z_n | \vec{\xi})) = I_{n+1}^{-1} E(Z_n | \vec{\xi}) \psi_{\xi_n}(E(Z_n | \vec{\xi})) \\ &= \frac{E(\bar{W}_n | \vec{\xi}) \psi_{\xi_n}(E(Z_n | \vec{\xi}))}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n| | \vec{\xi}) &\leq \frac{\psi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i))}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_1(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i)} \\ &= \frac{\psi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i))}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n|) \leq E\left(\frac{\psi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i))}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}\right). \tag{6.2}$$

Summing (6.2) with respect to n gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n|) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{\psi_{\xi_n}(N_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(\xi_i) m(\xi_i) \alpha(\xi_i))}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_n) m(\xi_n) \alpha(\xi_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(\xi_i)}{\varepsilon_1(\xi_i)}\right).$$

Considering the assumed condition of Lemma 6.2, it is immediately clear that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|\bar{W}_{n+1} - \bar{W}_n|) = 0.$$

Namely, $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$ is a L^1 -Cauchy sequence, so $\{\bar{W}_n, n \in N\}$ converges in L^1 to a non-negative, finite random variable \bar{W} . □

7 Conclusion

A model of branching processes with random control functions and affected by viral infectivity in an i.i.d. random environment is established, and the Markov property of the model, the sufficient conditions for certain extinction, and some limit properties of the normalized processes are studied. The relevant conclusions of the branching processes are extended and their application fields are expanded. Next, we intend to study the limit theory of the model further, such as the convergence rate of the limit and the central limit theorem, and some properties of the branching processes with random control functions and affected by viral infectivity in i.i.d. random environments with different distributions and stationary traversal random environments, and will try to give application examples.

Acknowledgements

The authors want to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his or her valuable remarks and suggestions, which made this paper more readable.

Funding

This survey is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971034), the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Universities (Grant No. 2022AH051370, Grant No. KJ2021A1101, Grant No. KJ2020A0731), and the Humanities and Social Science Foundation of Anhui Universities (Grant No. SK2020A0527).

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

MR was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 4 July 2022 Accepted: 9 May 2023 Published online: 15 May 2023

References

1. Sevast'yanov, B.A., Zubkov, A.M.: Controlled branching process. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **19**(1), 12–24 (1974)
2. Yanev, N.M.: Conditions for degeneracy of φ -branching processes with random φ . *Theory Probab. Appl.* **20**, 421–428 (1975)
3. Zubkov, A.M., Yanev, N.M.: Conditions for extinction of controlled branching processes. *Math. Educ. Math.* **12**, 550–555 (1989)
4. Yanev, N.M.: Controlled branching processes in random environments. *Math. Balk.* **7**, 137–156 (1977)
5. Yanev, G.P., Yanev, N.M.: Extinction of controlled branching processes in random environment. *Math. Balk.* **4**, 368–380 (1990)
6. Holzheimr, J.: ϕ -Branching processes in a random environment. *Zastos. Mat.* **18**, 351–358 (1984)
7. Bi, Q.X., Li, J.F.: Controlled branching process in random environments. *J. Math.* **23**(4), 437–442 (2003)
8. Fang, L., Yang, X.Q., Li, Y.Q.: Convergence rates for a controlled branching process with random control functions in the varying environment. *J. Univ. Chin. Acad. Sci.* **31**(2), 160–164 (2014)
9. Li, Y.Q., Li, D.R., Pan, S., Peng, X.L.: Limit theorems for controlled branching processes in random environments. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)* **61**(2), 317–326 (2018)
10. Rosenkranz, G.: Diffusion approximation of controlled branching processes with random environment. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **3**(3), 363–377 (1985)
11. Wang, W.G., Hu, D.H.: Stability of controlled branching processes in random environment. *J. Math.* **29**(3), 237–241 (2009)
12. Hu, Y.L., Wang, J., Wang, H.S.: Controlled branching processes with random control function. *J. Math.* **30**(2), 333–337 (2010)
13. Wang, Y.P., Peng, Z.H., Li, N.S.: The convergence rate of controlled branching process in random environment. *J. Hunan Univ. Arts Sci. (Sci. Technol.)* **30**(4), 8–12 (2018)
14. Tan, K., Chen, Y., Wang, Y.P.: Limit properties of controlled branching process in a random environment. *J. Hunan Univ. Arts Sci. (Sci. Technol.)* **32**(1), 1–3 (2020)
15. Ren, M.: Limit properties for branching process affected by communicable diseases in random environments. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci.* **49**(1), 53–59 (2022)
16. Ren, M., Wang, J.J., Wang, Y.P.: Probability generating functions and extinction conditions for the bisexual branching processes affected by infectivity of virus in random environments. *Wuhan Univ. J. Nat. Sci.* **67**(3), 263–269 (2021)

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)